

**From:** Serena J Domvile <domvile@ibm.net>  
**To:** Paula Spencer <spencerp@inac.gc.ca>  
**Date:** Thu, May 13, 1999 1:46 pm  
**Subject:** references on WAROX - your 19-page fax

Dear Paula:

What a mountain of information! There seems to be precious little on the developments of the last 5 years however, perhaps due to proprietary nature of WAROX. Considering the objectives and limited time available for the purposes of our study, the following information appears to be the most relevant (based on the 18 pages of titles you sent by fax):

Page 1  
Economic Studies/WAROX  
First five memos

Page 2  
Recovery/Reclaim Dust  
all three references

Fenco  
All materials and drawings related to the Feasibility Study, including drawings (including those on page 3)

Page 3  
WAROX As Plant Engineering  
All references

Page 10  
Arsenic Storage: Papers/Reports  
Memo: Stability of Arsenic in U/G Storage

Arsenic- Pilot Fuming Tests  
All References

Page 11  
Arsenic Testwork  
Final two references by Ranford, 1980

B McLeod's Filing Cabinet  
1996 MAJM Memos re: arsenic project

Page 12  
Arsenic TAC: 1996 engineering study

Arsenic General  
Summary of arsenic storage by stopes  
Tons of arsenic trioxide to u/g from 1987 to 1995

Page 13  
Kent Morton's Office  
All references

Arsenic Trioxide Sales

Two references

Page 15

Un-labelled (red)

Memo: Visit to Hickson Corp (1990)

Arsenic Trioxide Marketing Study

Page 16

Arsenic Reclaim Program

All references

Arsenic Plant Condenser

First nine references

Page 17

As<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Pilot Plant

All references

Gold Recovery from Arsenic

First two references

WAROX Plant Designs

First reference (1990)

Last reference (page 18)

This may still be more than I can handle in the few days allowed. I am most interested in arsenic reclaim and processing plant/ process design specifications, testwork and feasibility results. Information since 1990 is the most relevant. There may be some duplication within these references which we should try to avoid if possible.

Let me know if this amounts to more than is appropriate to ship by courier (cost-wise) and for me to review in a very limited time frame and I will try to trim this list down further.